

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Preparation, Structural And Functional Characterization Of Modified Porous PvdF Membranes By  $\gamma$ -Irradiation

Authors: M.A. Masuelli, M. Grasselli, J. Marchese, N.A. Ochoa



PII: S0376-7388(11)00769-1  
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2011.10.019  
Reference: MEMSCI 10998

To appear in: *Journal of Membrane Science*

Received date: 13-4-2011  
Revised date: 17-6-2011  
Accepted date: 14-10-2011

Please cite this article as: M.A. Masuelli, M. Grasselli, J. Marchese, N.A. Ochoa, Preparation, Structural And Functional Characterization Of Modified Porous PvdF Membranes By  $\gamma$ -Irradiation, *Journal of Membrane Science* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2011.10.019

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### Research Highlights

- PVDF membranes can be modified with glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) by gamma-rays induce graft polymerization method.
- The grafted membrane can be sulfonated by an epoxy opening reaction.
- Modified membranes have a higher number of pores and with smaller size than the unmodified one.
- Grafted membranes do not show irreversible fouling.
- Grafted membrane permeates can be directly discharged into the rivers due to the low values of COD measured.

Accepted Manuscript

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

**PREPARATION, STRUCTURAL AND FUNCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF  
MODIFIED POROUS PVDF MEMBRANES by  $\gamma$ -IRRADIATION**

**M.A. Masuelli<sup>1</sup>, M. Grasselli<sup>2</sup>, J. Marchese<sup>1</sup>, N. A. Ochoa<sup>1,\*</sup>**

*1-Instituto de Física Aplicada- Universidad Nacional de San Luis-CONICET-FONCYT, Chacabuco*

*917-CP.5700-San Luis, Argentina*

*2- Dpto. de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad Nacional de Quilmes, Roque Saenz Peña 352,*

*(B1876BXD) Bernal, Buenos Aires, Argentina*

49 \*Corresponding author: N.A.Ochoa

50 FAX +54-2652-430224

51 TEL: +54-2652-446211

52 E-Mail: [aochoa@unsl.edu.ar](mailto:aochoa@unsl.edu.ar)

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**ABSTRACT**

1  
2 This work describes the synthesis of charged poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF)  
3 membranes. Cationic membranes were prepared by graft modification using radiation-induced  
4 polymerization. Glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EDMA)  
5 were used as monomers and after graft polymerization the former was sulfonized with sodium  
6 sulfite. Membrane characterizations were carried out by FTIR-ATR, SEM-EDS, ionic  
7 exchange capacity, hydraulic permeability and liquid-liquid displacement. FTIR-ATR and  
8 SEM-EDS analysis revealed that increasing grafting degree increased the amount of the  
9 sulfonic group in the membranes. Sulfonated membranes showed smaller pore sizes and  
10 higher pore density than the original PVDF membrane. Oil/water ultrafiltration tests with the  
11 charged PVDF membranes showed interesting permselectivity performance; high oil retention  
12 values ( $R > 98\%$ ), low chemical oxygen demand in the permeate solution ( $\text{COD} < 59 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ).  
13 In addition, low fouling ( $< 16.6\%$ ) and negligible irreversible fouling of the charged membranes  
14 was observed during the ultrafiltration tests.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Keywords:** charged membrane, grafting, fouling, oily wastewater

## 1. Introduction

1  
2 The treatment of exhausted oil emulsions is a great environmental problem due to its high  
3  
4 content of non-degradable hydrocarbons and its high chemical oxygen demand (COD). In the  
5  
6 last two decades, membrane processes such as microfiltration (MF), ultrafiltration (UF),  
7  
8 nanofiltration (NF) and reverse osmosis (RO) are increasingly been applied for treating oily  
9  
10 wastewater [1-4]. Cheryan and Rajagopalan [5] described several studies of membrane  
11  
12 applications in a hybrid system when combined with conventional chemical treatment  
13  
14 systems to concentrate sludge. In their work the potential of applying membranes to the  
15  
16 treatment of oily wastes was also discussed. Although the UF process has been successfully  
17  
18 used in oil reduction and chemical oxygen demand, the use in oil/water emulsion treatment  
19  
20 applications has remained limited as a result of low permeate fluxes due to membrane fouling.  
21  
22 A rapid diminution of the permeate flux reduces the competitiveness of the process. It is well  
23  
24 known that the fouling is caused by two main factors, the hydrodynamics of the process and  
25  
26 the physicochemical properties of membrane and feed solution. Several approaches to solve  
27  
28 this problem have been attempted, among them, back pulsing, vibratory or centrifugal devices  
29  
30 to enhance shear at the membrane surface, pretreatment of feed, and modification of  
31  
32 membrane surfaces to increase hydrophilicity and/or its surface charge. This paper is focused  
33  
34 on the latter of these approaches.

35  
36 Ulbricht [6] has presented a complete outline on the development of polymeric membranes  
37  
38 having advanced or novel function in the various membrane separation processes. Fouling-  
39  
40 resistant surface functional membrane could be obtained by various methods to improve the  
41  
42 membrane hydrophilicity. Charged membranes offer the advantage that in addition to solute  
43  
44 retention by size exclusion mechanism, an electrostatic repulsion effect is added [7, 8]. The  
45  
46 poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) polymer has widely been studied and reported as a material  
47  
48 to tailor polymeric membrane for many different applications and as candidate for surface  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

modification. It is due to its good thermal stability, chemical resistance, excellent process facility, and handiness in controlling structural and morphological characteristics. However, the strong fouling tendency generated from its intrinsic hydrophobicity limits the application of PVDF membranes in aqueous mixtures filtration. Several techniques to synthesize charged PVDF membranes have been reported in the literature, such as blends or base polymer modifications by a chemical or physical process. Hester and Mayes [9] prepared immersion precipitated membranes with enhanced fouling resistance from blends of PVDF and a free-radically synthesized amphiphilic comb polymer having a methacrylate backbone and poly(ethylene oxide) side chains. Hydrophilic PVDF–PVP ultrafiltration membrane was achieved by using  $\text{KMnO}_4$  and  $\text{KOH}$  as oxidant and strong base to facilitate the HF-elimination from PVDF chain, and polyvinylpyrrolidone aqueous solution as a coating media [10]. Wei et al [11] described the properties of surface-modified PVDF membranes. These membranes were created by coating hydrophilic polymers on the support PVDF membrane to reduce the tendency to protein fouling. Baroña et al [12] prepared negatively charged PVDF microfiltration membranes using simple and direct sulfonation with chlorosulfonic acid without grafting or irradiation techniques.

Graft polymerization of specific functionalities or polymer layers has been employed as a route to tailor PVDF polymeric membrane surfaces for many different applications, particularly for proteins separation and purification processes. Considerable attention has been directed at modifications using irradiation source as a way to reduce fouling, for example: grafting process along the ion track of irradiated PVDF films to obtain an inhomogeneous composite material of polystyrene-PVDF [13]; covalent bonding of amino-terminated molecules onto acrylic acid by radiation induced grafting PVDF [14]; post-radiation-induced-graft polymerization of poly(acrylic acid) onto PVDF using reversible addition-fragmentation transfer (RAFT) mechanism [15]; modifying the pore surface and inner part of polymeric

1 material by plasma method [16]; grafting PVDF porous membrane with poly(ethylene glycol)  
2 methyl ether methacrylate by the pre-irradiation method with high energy electron beam [17];  
3  
4 grafting the zwitterionic sulfobetaine methacrylate on the surface of PVDF membrane via  
5  
6 ozone surface activation and surface-initiated atom transfer radical polymerization [18];  
7  
8 grafting polymerization of N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidinone onto the PVDF-based microporous  
9  
10 membrane containing a small quantity of poly-ethersulfone under UV irradiation [19]; and  
11  
12 irradiating PVDF powder in air using a  $^{60}\text{Co}$   $\gamma$ -ray source, and then grafting hydrophilic  
13  
14 monomers of acrylic acid (AAc) or methyl acrylic (MAA) [20].  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 As it was above mentioned the PVDF modifications were usually focused on improving the  
20  
21 membrane antifouling performance for protein concentration or separation from aqueous  
22  
23 solutions. The research which our group is undertaken examines the effects of membrane  
24  
25 morphologies and surface modifications on fouling behavior during oily wastewater  
26  
27 treatment. In previous work blend membranes with different degrees of hydrophilicity were  
28  
29 prepared from PVDF and poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) [21]. Oily emulsion tests  
30  
31 showed that membranes with higher PMMA content lead to lower fouling. Charged ion  
32  
33 exchange resin-polymer composite membranes to treat oily suspension were performed [22].  
34  
35  
36 It was found a high electrostatic oil repulsion at 20% w/w resin content resulting in membrane  
37  
38 no fouling and low oil concentration in the permeate solution. More recently, new membranes  
39  
40 based on sulfonated polycarbonate (SPC) and PVDF were prepared [23]. SPC was obtained  
41  
42 by treating polycarbonate with acetyl sulfate. Membrane performance was assessed using an  
43  
44 oil–water emulsion. Results indicated that the membranes containing 20% of SPC did not  
45  
46 present an appreciable irreversible fouling.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52  
53 As a continuance of our previous published papers on emulsified oily wastewater treatment  
54  
55 [21-23], in this work, an alternative method to surface modification techniques to obtain  
56  
57 PVDF graft charged membranes to improve the oily emulsion fouling resistance is proposed.  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

The technique involves the use of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EDMA) monomers in the grafting polymerization step followed by sulfonation with sodium sulfite. Data of FTIR-ATR, SEM-EDS, ionic exchange capacity, hydraulic permeability and liquid-liquid displacement are included in order to carry out a physicochemical characterization. Finally, the fouling behavior of the synthesized membranes is analyzed by permeation tests with an oil emulsion solution.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials

PVDF Solef<sup>®</sup> 1015 high viscosity was provided Solvay Belgium and Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) K30 was supplied from Fluka. Glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) and ethylenglycol dimethacrylate (EDMA) were purchased at Sigma Aldrich Co., St. Louis, USA, and used as provided. Sodium Sulfite, Isopropanol, Isobuthanol, Methanol, *N,N*-dimethylacetamide (DMAc), Natrium Hydroxyde (NaOH), Natrium Chloride (NaCl) and Chlorhydric Acid (HCl) were provided by Merck. Viledon 2431 non-woven support (thickness: 0.14 mm; air permeability at 200Pa: 500 L/m<sup>2</sup>h) was kindly provided by Carl Freudenberg, Germany.

Commercial emulsive oil (Insignia<sup>®</sup> oil) was purchased from JyM Lubricantes S.A. (Argentina). Oily/water emulsion was prepared mixing 1 g of Insignia<sup>®</sup> oil in 1 L of distilled water (0.1 wt/v % oil concentration) by stirring with an UltraTurrax-T50 stirrer at 500 rpm. The emulsion had the following characteristics: pH 7, viscosity  $\eta=1.058 \times 10^{-3}$  Pa.s, average oil droplet diameter of 2.5 $\mu$ m (optical microscopy) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) of 1700 mg L<sup>-1</sup>[22].

### 2.2. Membrane Preparation



1 The general procedure for membrane preparation was as follows: 12% of PVDF and 10% of  
 2 PVP were dissolved in DMAc at 50 °C by stirring with a magnetic bar during 10 h. The final  
 3  
 4 mixture was cast onto the non-woven support using a film extensor at 25°C. Then, the  
 5 supported polymeric film solution was coagulated in bi-distilled water at 25°C. Afterwards  
 6  
 7 membrane was introduced in a 5 L water bath during 12 h.  
 8  
 9

### 14 2.3. Grafting GMA and GMA-EDMA

16 GMA and EDMA were used as monomers (reactive and cross-linker monomer respectively)  
 17  
 18 in the grafting polymerization step. In a 1 L vessel PVDF porous membrane (membrane  
 19 surface=374 cm<sup>2</sup>) was soaked in a monomer solution. Monomer solution was prepared in  
 20  
 21 distilled water and methanol 1:1 degassed by N<sub>2</sub> bubbling. GMA and EDMA monomer  
 22  
 23 concentrations (wt/wt %) are informed in Table 1. The samples were irradiated at room  
 24  
 25 temperature in a <sup>60</sup>Co PISI semi industrial irradiation source (CNEA, Ezeiza, Argentina) at a  
 26  
 27 dose rate of 0.9 KGy/h and a total dose of 7 KGy. The irradiated membranes were washed  
 28  
 29 first with a methanol/water solution (1/1), and then with pure methanol. Finally, they were  
 30  
 31 dried at 60°C during 24 h. The grafting degree (GD) of GMA was determined from:  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40

$$41 \text{ GD}[\%] = \frac{(W_1 - W_0)}{W_0} 100 \quad (1)$$

42  
 43  
 44  
 45  
 46  
 47 where W<sub>0</sub> and W<sub>1</sub> are the weights (g) of the PVDF film and GMA grafted film, respectively.  
 48  
 49  
 50  
 51

### 52 2.4. Sulfonation

54 Grafted-irradiated membranes were sulfonated by soaking in a solution of sodium  
 55 sulfite/water/isopropanol (wt% 10/75/15) at 40°C during 24 h in order to ensure the major  
 56  
 57 conversion of epoxy group to sulfonic group [24-25]. Sulfonated membranes were labeled  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

with an additional letter (S). The conversion from epoxy to sulfonic group was calculated from the GMA weight ( $W_1$ ) change as follows

$$X[\%] = \left\{ \frac{\left[ \frac{(W_2 - W_1)}{103} \right]}{\left[ \frac{(W_1 - W_0)}{142} \right]} \right\} 100 \quad (2)$$

where  $W_2$  is the weight of sulfonated film. The values 142 and 103 correspond to the molecular weights of GMA and sodium sulfite, respectively. The PVDF grafting and sulfonation reactions are schematized in Figures 1 and 2.

### 2.5. Pore size measurements by Liquid-Liquid Displacement Porosimetry

Three liquids (mixture of isobutanol/methanol/water; 15/7/25, v/v/v) (surface tension,  $\gamma = 0.35$  mN/m) are used to pores analysis by applying relatively low pressures [26]. Procedure consists on filling the membrane with a liquid (wetting liquid, aqueous phase) and then displacing it from pores with the organic phase (isobutanol saturated with water and methanol). Flux through the membrane is obtained by using a syringe pump (ISCO 500D) to gradually increment the flux on the organic-phase side. Simultaneously, equilibrium pressure is measured in each incremental stage using a pressure transducer (OMEGA DP200). When the applied pressure and flux through the membrane were monitored, then the radii of opened pores at each applied pressure can be calculated from Cantor's equation [27]. This equation is valid if it is assumes the liquid effectively wets the membrane (i.e. with null contact angle).

$$r_p = \frac{2\gamma}{\Delta p} \quad (3)$$

where  $\Delta p$  = applied pressure,  $\gamma$  = interfacial tension and  $r_p$  = pore equivalent radius. Assuming cylindrical pores, Hagen-Poiseuille relationship can be used to correlate volumetric flux density  $J_{vi}$  to a given pore radius  $r_p$ . In each stage of incremental volumetric flux density, the corresponding pressure ( $\Delta p_i$ ) was measured. From these data the distribution of the number of pores ( $n_i$ ) versus pore radius were calculated according to:

$$\frac{dn_i}{dr_{pi}} = - \frac{\eta \tau \ell \Delta p_i^6}{16\pi\gamma^6} \frac{d^2 J_{vi}}{d\Delta p_i^2} \quad (4)$$

where  $\eta$  = dynamic viscosity,  $\tau$  = tortuosity, and  $\ell$  = pore length which corresponds to the active layer thickness of the membrane. The membrane surface porosity ( $\varepsilon$ ) can be evaluated from

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\pi \sum_i^n n_i r_{pi}^2}{A_m} \quad (5)$$

where  $A_m$  is the membrane surface area ( $A_m = 2.46 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2$ ).

## 2.6. Ion Exchange Capacity

Data on ion exchange capacity (IEC) gives information regarding ionizable groups available on the membrane. The ion exchange capacity -with units meq/g of dry polymer- of the original and sulfonated PVDF membranes was measured using the standard experimental method according to Taeger et al [28] as follows: membrane was immersed in 1 N HCl for 24 h, rinsed in distilled water; subsequently, membrane samples were soaked for 24 h in 1N

NaCl solution (exchange of  $H^+$  by  $Na^+$  within the film) and titrated with 0.01 N NaOH to determine the concentration of the exchanged protons. The IEC values was calculated from

$$IEC = \frac{(VN)_M - (VN)_b}{m} \quad (6)$$

where V and N are the volume and normality of the NaOH spent on the membranes (M) and blank (b), and m is the mass of the membrane sample.

### 2.7. FTIR-ATR Spectroscopy

Membranes IR spectra were recorded by Impact 410 – Nicolet FT-IR Spectrometer. ATR technique was performed on multiple reflection system using a vertical variable angle (fixed to  $45^\circ$ ) with a KRS-5 crystal (thallium bromide–iodide).

### 2.8. SEM-EDS Microscopy

The morphologies of PVDF and charged membranes were observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) LEO 1450VP, and energy dispersion X-ray analysis was carried out using an EDS Genesis 2000 (EDAX). For the SEM morphological cross-sections analysis, samples were prepared by fracturing the membranes after immersion in liquid nitrogen and afterward coated with carbon. They were observed under high vacuum and EDS spectrums were obtained applying an acceleration voltage of 120 kV.

### 2.9. Filtration experiments

All filtration experiments were carried out with a Minitan-S ultrafiltration device (Millipore Corp), with an effective membrane transfer area of  $3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2$ . The experimental protocol was: first, the membrane was compacted at 100 kPa pressure of transmembrane during 30 min [29]. Then, the hydraulic permeability of the original membrane was determined from

1 measurements of pure-water fluxes at different pressures (100 - 20 kPa). Fouling test  
2 experiments with 0.1wt % of oil emulsion were performed during 2 h at 67 kPa and feed flow  
3 of 1 L/min. After that, the membrane was cleaned with pure water during 1 h at the same  
4 operational conditions that fouling test. Finally, in order to determine the irreversible fouling  
5 resistance, pure-water flux was measured under the same hydraulic permeability conditions  
6 (100-20kPa). All permeation trials were carried out in duplicate.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 *2.10. Chemical oxygen demand (COD)*

18 Permeate samples were refluxed in strongly acid solution with a predetermined excess of  
19 potassium dichromate. The consumed oxygen was measured against standards at 600 nm by  
20 U-2001 UV-Visible Hitachi spectrophotometer according to the 5220D Standard Method for  
21 the Examination of Water and Wastewater [30].  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

### 31 *2.11. Oil Retention*

32 Oil content was evaluated by UV-vis spectroscopy at 220 nm. The oil retention coefficient  
33 R(%) was calculated from [22]  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

$$41 \quad R\% = \left(1 - \frac{C_p}{C_f}\right) 100 \quad (7)$$

42 where  $C_p$  and  $C_f$  are the oil concentration in permeate and feed, respectively.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

## 52 **3. Results and Discussion**

### 53 *3.1. Grafting and Sulfonation*

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 The grafting degree of GMA grafted on to the irradiated membrane is shown in Table 1. The  
2 GD increase as the monomer GMA concentration increases and it slightly decrease in  
3 presence of the EDMA crosslinker. Similar results were reported in (GMA/DVB or  
4 GMA/EDMA) cografiting of polyethylene [31, 32]. Conversion degree of GMA epoxy group  
5 into the sulfonic group is also shown in Table 1. The results indicated that the epoxy groups  
6 of grafted membrane were satisfactorily functionalized (X between 95 and 99%).  
7  
8 Membranes modification can be qualitatively verified by FTIR. Fig. 3 shows the resulting  
9 spectrum of PVDF, G5 PVDF, and G5 PVDF (S) membranes. The bands at  $3400\text{cm}^{-1}$  and at  
10  $3600\text{cm}^{-1}$  of the PVDF spectrum are due to the stretching of the antisymmetric C-H bond and  
11 to the symmetric stretching, respectively. The amide carbonyl band at  $1688\text{cm}^{-1}$  [33]  
12 indicates the presence of PVP coming from casting dope. At  $1200\text{cm}^{-1}$  the predominant  
13 vibrations are C-H, C-C and C-F deflections. Within the range of  $1020\text{--}1330\text{cm}^{-1}$ , band is  
14 produced by overlapped elongation of C-H and C-F. In  $505$  and  $880\text{cm}^{-1}$ , the signals are due  
15 to torsion, bending and stretching of atoms C, H and F [34]. The characteristic signals of  
16 GMA grafted membrane previous to sulfonation (spectrum G5-PVDF) are: C=O at  $1720\text{cm}^{-1}$   
17 and C=O wag at  $630\text{cm}^{-1}$ , -O- (oxirane) presents absorption bands at  $848$ ,  $908$  and  $990\text{cm}^{-1}$   
18 [35,36] being the last band the only one not overlapped, C-O-C at  $1100\text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{-CH}_2$  at  $2970$   
19  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{-OH}$  and  $\text{-OH}$  wag at  $3420$  and  $2400\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. The spectrum corresponding to  
20 G5-PVDF(S) shows visible characteristic peaks for  $\text{SO}_3^-$  with absorption at  $1250\text{--}1150$  and  
21  $1060\text{--}1030\text{cm}^{-1}$  [37, 38]. The strong band of frequency  $1250\text{--}1150\text{cm}^{-1}$  can be ascribed to  
22 stretch vibration for S=O, and the absorption band at  $1060\text{--}1030\text{cm}^{-1}$  is assigned to the  
23 symmetric stretching band. However, the C-O-C for asymmetric stretching band is  $1290\text{--}$   
24  $1180\text{cm}^{-1}$ , and the O-C-O peak is  $645\text{--}575\text{cm}^{-1}$ . After sulphite reaction absorption peak at  
25  $990\text{cm}^{-1}$  disappears (oxirane ring of GMA). The spectra of G1-PVDF, G1-PVDF(S) and  
26 crosslinked membranes (G1X-PVDF and G5X-PVDF) showed similar signals than G5-PVDF,  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

and G5-PVDF (S) membranes (spectra are not shown).

### 3.2. SEM-EDS Microscopy

Figure 4 illustrates the images obtained by backscattered electrons of the surface as well as the data obtained by EDS for PVDF and the sulfonated G5-PVDF(S) and G5X-PVDF(S) membranes. From the EDS results, it can be observed that the PVDF membrane shows signals corresponding to C, F and O. PVP presence in PVDF membrane can be observed by low O/C ratio. Membrane G5-PVDF(S) have two kind of phases: i) mainly grafted and sulfonated phase showing a comparable C, F, Na, S and O signals, and ii) small regions (clearly shown in the micrograph) where C and F are the main signals respect to Na, S and O signals.

Table 2 shows changes in ratios of the elemental components of grafted membranes (F/C, O/C, S/C, and S/O). The elemental ratio of the original PVDF membrane was included as reference. It can be noticed that radiation-induced grafting of GMA/EDMA onto PVDF membrane causes a drastic decrease in the F/C ratio compare to the original membrane. This can be ascribed to the fact that the grafting process mainly occurs at the membrane surface, as it can be noticed from the very low mass incorporated in the grafting process (GD less than 3.5 % in all cases). On the other hand, the observed decreasing trend in the F/C ratio with the increasing grafting degree can be attributed to an increase in the thickness of the grafted GMA layer. The third and fourth columns in the Table 2 show that the S/C as well as O/C ratios increase with the increase of the degree of grafting of the membranes indicating an augment of the sulfonic groups in the membrane.

### 3.3 Ion Exchange Capacity

Table 3 shows the ion exchange capacity of the sulfonated membranes. The results indicated that all modified membranes had higher ion exchange capacity than PVDF membrane. This

1 capacity increased with GMA content and decreased when the crosslinker was included in the  
2 grafted polymer. The crosslinking reaction produces a more closed structure of the grafted  
3 polymer decreasing the amount of oxirane groups available to generate sulfonic groups in  
4 presence of sodium sulphite.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 *3.4. Measurements of pore size distribution*

12 Structural characteristics of the prepared membranes were analyzed using the LLDP  
13 technique. Figure 5 shows the results of pore size distribution of the studied membranes,  
14 indicating that there was a noticeable diminution in the pore size of the sulfonated membranes  
15 compared with those of the original PVDF membrane. Only G1X-PVDF(S) membrane  
16 presented a bimodal distribution of pore radius with maximum at 5 nm and 9 nm. Table 3  
17 shows the mean pore radii data determined from the pore size distribution, evidencing a  
18 reduction on pore size from 43.84 nm for the unmodified membrane to 4.18 nm for sulfonated  
19 membrane with 0.5 % GMA (G5-PVDF(S)). The presence of crosslinker led to a major  
20 decrease of mean pore size reaching a minimum value of 2.23 nm for the membrane with  
21 0.05% of EDMA (G5X-PVDF(S)). The general tendency indicated grafted-sulfonated  
22 technique generated membranes with lower porosity and higher number of pores of smaller  
23 size than those of the unmodified membrane.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

### 46 *3.5. Functional characterization*

47 Table 4 shows the main results obtained from pure water flux measurements through the  
48 prepared membranes, ie: hydraulic permeabilities of the virgin membranes ( $L_h$ ), hydraulic  
49 permeability of the membranes after cleaning procedure ( $L_{hc}$ ). The initial permeate flux ( $J_{v0}$ )  
50 can be obtained from hydraulic permeability of the membranes at  $\Delta p=67$  kPa. Good  
51 reproducibility of water permeability of the charged membranes after cleaning was obtained  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 indicating there was a very low irreversible fouling during the filtration tests. Charged  
 2 membranes reduced notably the hydraulic permeability compared with the PVDF membrane  
 3 in concurrence with their mean pore size and porosity decrease. Membrane G5X-PVDF(S)  
 4 showed the smallest pore size (2.23nm), however its  $L_h$  value was higher than the G5-  
 5 PVDF(S) ( $r_{pLLDP} = 4.18$  nm) probably as a consequence of its highest porosity ( $\epsilon=8.5\%$ ).  
 6

7 The oil retention and COD values are also informed in Table 4. The grafted-sulfonated G5-  
 8 PVDF(S) and G5X-PVDF(S) membranes showed the highest oil retention (R %) in total  
 9 agreement with their highest IEC and smallest pore size. The water permeate from the  
 10 filtration with sulfonated membrane has appropriate characteristics ( $COD < 100$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>) for  
 11 direct discharge into municipal wastewater treatment plant and river ditches, according to the  
 12 current legislation in Argentina [39].  
 13

14 Figure 6 shows the normalized permeate flux ( $J_v/J_{v0}$ ) as a function of time for original and  
 15 modified PVDF membranes. It shows the variation of normalized flux with time during the  
 16 permeation experiences, where there was a drop in permeate flux and afterward it remained  
 17 essentially constant during the rest of the test reaching a pseudo-steady state (limiting flux,  
 18  $J_{v\infty}$ ). Flux decay is a measure of the fouling phenomenon, which it was more evident in PVDF  
 19 membrane. Grafted membranes with sulfonic groups result in a significant reduction in the  
 20 flux drop under emulsion ultrafiltration. The electrostatic exclusion plays an important role  
 21 during the oil emulsion filtration process. Due to the emulsion droplets at pH 7 [22] have the  
 22 same charge sign as the fixed charge in the grafted membrane surface (negative), the emulsion  
 23 droplets were rejected from the membrane surface diminishing the fouling phenomena. The  
 24 permeation flux during filtration can be expressed in terms of the resistance model [22] as  
 25

$$26 \quad J_v = \frac{dV}{A dt} = \frac{\Delta p}{\eta(R_m + R_F)} = \frac{\Delta p}{\eta R_T} \quad (8)$$

where  $J_V$  is the permeate flux ( $\text{m}^3/\text{m}^2 \text{ s}$ ),  $R_T$  the total resistance of permeation ( $\text{m}^{-1}$ ), and  $\eta$  the permeate viscosity ( $\text{Pa s}$ ).  $R_T$  involves the intrinsic resistance of membrane,  $R_m$ , and the fouling resistance,  $R_F$ . The osmotic, concentration polarization and others fouling effects are included in  $R_F$ , which can be subdivided into reversible fouling ( $R_{rF}$ ) and irreversible fouling ( $R_{iF}$ ),

$$R_F = R_{rF} + R_{iF} \quad (9)$$

The limiting flux values after  $t=120$  minutes, ( $J_{\infty}$  in Table 4), were used as a reference fluxes to evaluate the total resistance. The irreversible fouling resistances were obtained from the hydraulic permeabilities after membrane cleaning with water ( $L_{hc}$  in Table 4). Table 5 shows the resistance data that quantify the fouling phenomenon. The original PVDF membrane has a higher hydraulic permeability as mentioned above, resulting in a lower intrinsic membrane resistance. However, PVDF membrane showed the highest  $R_F$  and  $R_{iF}$  fouling which represent a 70% and 60% respectively of the total resistance. On the other hand, the grafted-sulfonated membranes possessed higher  $R_m$  (lower  $L_h$ ) and lower  $R_F$  values than the PVDF membrane. The case of membranes with high IEC values (G5-PVDF(S) and G5X-PVDF(S)) was the most noticeable. GMA-EDMA grafted membranes had a lower fouling resistance contribution to total membrane resistance when they were compared with GMA grafted membrane. As it can be noted, the irreversible fouling values obtained from  $(L_{hc} - L_h)$  were within the  $L_h$  experimental error, so it can be considered negligible compared to the intrinsic membrane resistance. As general result, the incorporation of ionizable groups by means of irradiation-induced polymerization produced membranes with low fouling feature.

#### 4. Conclusions

1  
2 PVDF membrane was modified with glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) by gamma-rays induced  
3  
4 graft polymerization method. In order to obtain charged membranes the oxirane ring of  
5  
6 grafted membranes was efficiently sulfonated by reaction with sodium sulphite. These results  
7  
8 were confirmed by FTIR-ATR and SEM-EDS measurements. The characterization results  
9  
10 indicated that the modified membranes had higher ion exchange capacity, smaller pore size  
11  
12 and lower porosity than the unmodified PVDF membrane. Charged membranes presented a  
13  
14 very low irreversible fouling, high oil retention and low COD values in the permeate stream.  
15  
16 Results obtained demonstrated that the synthesized grafted-sulfonated PVDF membranes  
17  
18 have promising permselectivity properties to separate oil from oily wastewater with adequate  
19  
20 COD characteristics, showing a potential for industrial wastewater treatment.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

#### 28 Acknowledgments

29  
30  
31  
32

33 This work was supported by grants from the Universidad Nacional de Quilmes, Universidad  
34  
35 Nacional de San Luis/FONCYT/CONICET, and the Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**References**

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- [1] M. Cheryan. Ultrafiltration and Microfiltration Handbook; Technomic: Lancaster, PA, 1998.
  - [2] R. Noble, S.A. Stern. Membrane Separations Technology, Principles and Applications; Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995
  - [3] S.P. Nunes, K.V. Peinemann. Membrane Technology in the Chemical Industry, Wiley-CH:Weinheim; New York 2001.
  - [4] R.W. Baker. Membrane Technology and Applications, 2<sup>nd</sup>. Edition J. Wiley: Chichester New York 2004.
  - [5] M. Cheryan, N. Rajagopalan. Membrane processing of oily streams. Wastewater treatment and waste reduction. J. Membr. Sci. 151 (1998) 13-28.
  - [6] M. Ulbricht. Advanced functional polymer membranes. Polymer 47 (2006) 2217–2262.
  - [7] M. R. Teixeira, M. J. Rosa, M. Nystrom. The role of membrane charge on nanofiltration performance. J. Membr. Sci. 265 (2005) 160–166.
  - [8] C. Bellona, J. E. Drewes. The role of membrane surface charge and solute physico-chemical properties in the rejection of organic acids by NF membranes. J. Membr. Sci. 249 (2005) 227–234.
  - [9] J.F. Hester, A.M. Mayes. Design and performance of foul-resistant poly(vinylidene fluoride) membranes prepared in a single-step by surface segregation. J. Membr. Sci. 202 (2002) 119–135
  - [10] Z. Xu, L. Li, F. Wu, S. Tan, Z. Zhang. The application of the modified PVDF ultrafiltration membranes in further purification of Ginkgo biloba extraction. J. Membr. Sci. 255 (2005) 125–131
  - [11] J. Wei, G.S. Helm, N. Corner-Walker, X. Hou. Characterization of a non-fouling ultrafiltration membrane. Desalination 192 (2006) 252–261

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- [12] G. N. B. Baroña, B. J. Cha, B. Jung. Negatively charged poly(vinylidene fluoride) microfiltration membranes by sulfonation. *J. Membr. Sci.* 290 (2007) 46–54
- [13] L. Torrisi, R. Percolla. Ion beam processing of polyvinylidene fluoride. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. B* 117 (1996) 387-391.
- [14] N. Betz, J. Begue, M. Goncalves, K. Gionnet, G. Deleris, A. Le Moel. Functionalisation of PAA radiation grafted PVDF. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. B* 208 (2003) 434–441.
- [15] M. Grasselli, N Betz. Electron-beam induced RAFT-graft polymerization of poly(acrylic acid) onto PVDF. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. B* 236 (2005) 201–207.
- [16] M.G. Buonomenna, L.C. Lopez, P. Favia, R. d’Agostino, A. Giordano, E. Drioli. New PVDF membranes: The effect of plasma surface modification on retention in nanofiltration of aqueous solution containing organic compounds. *Water Res.* 41 (2007) 4309–4316.
- [17] F. Liu, C.-H. Du, B-K Zhu, Y-Y Xu. Surface immobilization of polymer brushes onto porous poly(vinylidene fluoride) membrane by electron beam to improve the hydrophilicity and fouling resistance. *Polymer* 48 (2007) 2910-2918.
- [18] Y-C Chiang, Y. Chang, A. Higuchi, W-Y Chen, R-C Ruaan. Sulfobetaine-grafted poly(vinylidene fluoride) ultrafiltration membranes exhibit excellent antifouling property. *J. Membr. Sci.* 339 (2009) 151–159.
- [19] M. Zhang, Q.T. Nguyen, Z. Ping. Hydrophilic modification of poly (vinylidene fluoride) microporous membrane. *J. Membr. Sci.* 327 (2009) 78–86
- [20] B. Deng, M. Yu, X. Yang, B. Zhang, L. Li, L. Xie, J. Li, X. Lu. Antifouling microfiltration membranes prepared from acrylic acid or methacrylic acid grafted poly(vinylidene fluoride) powder synthesized via pre-irradiation induced graft polymerization, *J. Membr. Sci.* 350 (2010) 252–258.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- [21] N. Ochoa, M. Masuelli, J. Marchese. Effect of hydrophilicity on fouling of an emulsified oil wastewater with PVDF/PMMA membranes. *J. Membr. Sci.* 226 (2003) 203-211.
- [22] N.A. Ochoa, M. Masuelli, J. Marchese. Development of charged ion exchange resin-polymer ultrafiltration membranes to reduce organic fouling. *J. Membr. Sci.* 278 (2006) 457-463.
- [23] M. Masuelli, J. Marchese, N. A. Ochoa. SPC/PVDF membranes for emulsified oily wastewater treatment. *J. Membr. Sci.* 326 (2009) 688–693.
- [24] K. Saito, S. Tsuneda, M. Kim, N. Kubota, K. Sugita, T. Sugo. Radiation-induced graft polymerization is the key to develop high-performance functional materials for protein purification. *Rad. Phys. Chem.* 54 (1999) 517-525.
- [25] E-Y. Choi, H. Strathmann, J-M. Park, S-H. Moon. Characterization of non-uniformly charged ion-exchange membranes prepared by plasma-induced graft polymerization. *J. Membr. Sci.* 268 (2006) 165-174.
- [26] J. I. Calvo, A. Bottino, G. Capannelli, A. Hernández. Comparison of liquid-liquid displacement porosimetry and scanning microscopy image analysis to characterize ultrafiltration track-etch membranes. *J. Membr. Sci.* 239 (2004) 189-197.
- [27] H. Bechhold, *Kolloidstudien mit der Filtrationsmethode*, *Z. Physik Chem.* 60, (1907) 257.
- [28] A. Taeger, C. Vogel, D. Lehmann, D. Jehnichen, H. Komber, J. Meier-Haack, N.A. Ochoa, S.P. Nunes, K.-V. Peinemann. Ion exchange membranes derived from sulfonated Polyaramides. *React. & Funct. Polym.* 57 (2003) 77–92.
- [29] K.M. Persson, V. Gekas, G. Tragardh. Study of membrane compaction and its influence on ultrafiltration water permeability. *J. Membr. Sci.* 100(1995)155–162.
- [30] Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 17 Ed. In Spanish. APHA-AWWA-WPCF. Ed. Diaz de Santos S.A. (1992) Madrid.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- [31] K. Sunaga, M. Kim, K. Saito, K. Sugita, T. Sugo. Characteristics of Porous Anion-Exchange Membranes Prepared by Cografting of Glycidyl Methacrylate with Divinylbenzene. *Chem. Mater.* 11 (1999) 1986-1989.
- [32] K Saito, K Saito, K. Sugita, M Tamada, T. Sugo. Cation-Exchange Porous Hollow-Fiber Membranes Prepared by Radiation-Induced Cografting of GMA and EDMA Which Improved Pure Water Permeability and Sodium Ion Adsorptivity. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 41 (2002) 5686-5691.
- [33] Z. Yuan, D.-L. Xi. Porous PVDF/TPU blends asymmetric hollow fiber membranes prepared with the use of hydrophilic additive PVP (K30). *Desalination* 223 (2008) 438-447
- [34] C. Wang, J. Li, W. Zhong, P. Zhang, Q. Wang. IR Vibrational Modes of PVDF Chains. *Synth. Met.* 135-136 (2003) 469-470.
- [35] W. Lee, T. Oshiquiri, K. Saito, K. Sugita, T. Sugo. Comparison of Formation Site of Graft Chain between Nonporous and Porous Films Prepared by RIGP. *Chem. Mater.* 8 (1996) 2618-2621.
- [36] Y. Zhang, H. He, C. Gao. Clickable macroinitiator strategy to build amphiphilic polymer brushes on carbon nanotubes. *Macromolecules* 41 (2008) 9581-9594.
- [37] J. Choi, D.H. Kim, H.K. Kim, C. Shim, S.C. Kim. Polymer blend membranes of sulfonated poly(arylene ether ketone) for direct methanol fuel cell. *J. Membr. Sci.* 310 (2008) 384-392.
- [38] W.-J. Lee, Y.-J. Kim, M.-O. Jung, D-H. Kim, D. Cho, S. Kaang. Preparation and properties of conducting polypyrrole-sulfonated polycarbonate composite. *Synth. Met.* 123 (2001) 327-333.
- [39] Hazardous Residue National Law N° 24051. República Argentina.  
<http://infoleg.mecon.gov.ar/infolegInternet/mostrarBusquedaNormas.do>.

Table 1 - Monomer concentrations, grafting degrees and conversions

Membrane	GMA wt/wt %	EDMA wt/wt %	GD %	X %
PVDF	-	-	0	0
G1-PVDF	0.10	-	0.8	99
G1X-PVDF	0.10	0.01	0.6	95
G5-PVDF	0.5	-	3.2	99
G5X-PVDF	0.5	0.05	3.1	95



Table 2. Membrane elemental analysis from EDS measurements

Membrane	F/C (wt./wt.)	O/C (wt./wt.)	S/C (wt./wt)	S/O (wt./wt.)
PVDF	0.480	0.004	0	0
G1-PVDF(S)	0.410	0.032	0.021	0.652
G1X-PVDF(S)	0.440	0.030	0.018	0.597
G5-PVDF(S)	0.237	0.155	0.098	0.621
G5X-PVDF(S)	0.350	0.108	0.034	0.570

Table 3. Ionic and structural parameters of the membranes

Membrane	IEC ( $\mu\text{eq/g}$ )	$r_{\text{pLLDP}}$ (nm)	$\varepsilon$ %
PVDF	0	43.84	24.03
G1-PVDF(S)	6.60	7.22	15.63
G1X-PVDF(S)	5.78	7.35	15.89
G5-PVDF(S)	9.88	4.18	4.16
G5X-PVDF(S)	8.65	2.23	8.50

Table 4. Hydraulic permeability, initial and limiting fluxes, oil retention, and COD data

Membrane	$L_h \pm \Delta \varepsilon$ $\times 10^{10}$ ( $\text{ms}^{-1}\text{Pa}^{-1}$ )	$J_{v\infty} \pm \Delta \varepsilon$ $\times 10^5$ ( $\text{ms}^{-1}$ )	$L_{hc} \pm \Delta \varepsilon$ $\times 10^{10}$ ( $\text{ms}^{-1}\text{Pa}^{-1}$ )	R %	COD ( $\text{mgL}^{-1}$ )
PVDF	22.38±1.8	4.25±0.40	7.49±0.72	82.90	230.6
G1-PVDF(S)	6.08±0.52	3.21±0.29	5.91±0.55	98.24	49.6
G1X-PVDF(S)	5.07±0.41	2.68±0.23	5.11±0.48	97.78	54.7
G5-PVDF(S)	3.71±0.27	2.13±0.21	3.81±0.37	98.75	43.9
G5X-PVDF(S)	6.04±0.54	3.23±0.30	5.86±0.57	99.20	39.0

Table 5. Resistances ( $m^{-1}$ ) of original and charged PVDF membranes

Membrane	$R_m \pm \Delta \epsilon$ $\times 10^{-11}$	$R_T \pm \Delta \epsilon$ $\times 10^{-11}$	$R_F$ $\times 10^{-11}$	$R_{iF}$ $\times 10^{-11}$	$R_F/R_T$ %
PVDF	4.47±0.40	14.90±1.1	10.43	8.87	70.0
G1-PVDF(S)	16.46±1.2	19.73±1.3	3.27	0.45	16.6
G1X-PVDF(S)	19.82±1.4	23.63±1.9	3.81	-0.25	16.1
G5-PVDF(S)	26.90±2.1	29.73±2.3	2.83	-0.63	9.5
G5X-PVDF(S)	16.54±1.2	19.60±1.5	3.10	0.52	15.8

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Scheme of GMA insertion in PVDF and sulfonation reaction.


Figure 2. Scheme of GMA + EDMA grafting onto PVDF and sulfonation reaction.


Figure 3. ATR- FTIR spectrum of PVDF, G5-PVDF, and G5-PVDF(S) membranes.

Figure 4. SEM membrane surface images and EDS spectra: a- , b- , for PVDF; c-, d-, for G5-PVDF(S); e-, f-, for G5X-PVDF(S).

Figure 5. Pore size distribution of the membranes from LLDP measurements.

Figure 6. Membrane permeated fluxes versus filtration time.





Accepte

